



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

06 DEC 2005

#3
COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. Box 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

ASTRAZENECA R&D BOSTON
35 GATEHOUSE DRIVE
WALTHAM, MA 02451-1215

In re Application of GRAVESTOCK et al	:	
U.S. Application No.: 10/550,039	:	
PCT Application No.: PCT/GB04/01119	:	DECISION
Int. Filing Date: 16 March 2004	:	
Priority Date Claimed: 20 March 2003	:	
Attorney Docket No.: 100870-1P US	:	
For: ANTIBACTERIAL 1,3-OXAZOLIDIN-2-	:	
ONE DERIVATIVES	:	

This is in response to applicant's "Petition for Revival of an International Application for Patent Designating the U.S. Abandoned Unintentionally Under 37 CFR 1.137(b)" filed 23 September 2005.

BACKGROUND

On 16 March 2004, applicant filed international application PCT/GB04/01119, which claimed priority of an earlier United Kingdom application filed 20 March 2003. A copy of the international application was communicated to the USPTO from the International Bureau on 30 September 2004. The thirty-month period for paying the basic national fee in the United States expired on 20 September 2005.

International application PCT/GB04/01119 became abandoned as to the United States for failure to timely pay the basic national fee.

On 21 September 2005, applicant filed national stage papers in the United States Designated/Elected Office (DO/EO/US). The submission was accompanied by, *inter alia*, the basic national fee required by 35 U.S.C. 371(c)(1) and an executed declaration.

On 23 September 2005, applicant filed the present petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b).

DISCUSSION

Under 37 CFR 1.137(b), a petition requesting that an application be revived on the grounds of unintentional abandonment must be accompanied by: (1) the required reply unless previously filed, (2) the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m), (3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition was unintentional, and (4) a terminal disclaimer if the application was filed before 08 June 1995.

With regard to item (1), applicant has provided the required reply under 35 U.S.C. 371.

With regard to item (2), applicant has provided the required petition fee.

With regard to item (3), applicant has provided the required statement.

With regard to item (4), because the international application was filed after 08 June 1995, no terminal disclaimer is required.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons above, the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) is GRANTED.

The application has an International Filing Date under 35 U.S.C. 363 of 16 March 2004, and a date under 35 U.S.C. 371(c)(1), (c)(2), and (c)(4) of 21 September 2005.

This application is being forwarded to the United States Designated/Elected Office (DO/EO/US) for further processing in accordance with this decision.



Bryan Tung
PCT Legal Examiner
Office of PCT Legal Administration

Telephone: 571-272-3303
Facsimile: 571-273-0459